

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/037,805	12/26/2001	Nemmara Chithambaram	30566.201-US-01	6253	
22462 7	22462 7590 08/26/2004			EXAMINER	
GATES & COOPER LLP HOWARD HUGHES CENTER 6701 CENTER DRIVE WEST, SUITE 1050 LOS ANGELES, CA 90045			DAVIS, TEMICA M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2681	20	
			DATE MAILED: 08/26/200	4 <i>H</i>	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
0.55	10/037,805	CHITHAMBARAM ET AL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Temica M. Davis	2681				
 The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply 	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 D	ecember 2001.					
, ,						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-36 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	,	·				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	_					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2 and 3. 	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2681

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1, 6, 7, 12, 13, 18, 19, 24, 25, 30, 31 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Caughran et al (Caughran), U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2002/0107029.

Regarding claims 1, 13 and 25, Caughran discloses an apparatus/method/article for locating a mobile device, comprising an application programming interface (API), executed by a computer, for providing a plurality of simplified procedures that allow an application program executed by the computer to locate the mobile device (0012, 0017), wherein: (a) the application program invokes the simplified procedures of the API (0017); and (b) the invoked procedures obtain: (i) a location of the mobile device given an identification of the mobile device (0023), wherein: (1) the invoked procedures interact with specifics for a mobile positioning server of a carrier of the mobile device (0017, 0018); and (2) the invoked procedures interact with different methods of identifying the device as required by the carrier (0023); (ii) a description of a spatial reference system associated with the location (0020).

Art Unit: 2681

Regarding claims 6, 18 and 30, Caughran discloses the apparatus/method/article of claims 1, 13 and 25 wherein the invoked procedures interact with different protocols (0017).

Regarding claims 7, 19 and 31, Caughran discloses the apparatus/method/article of claims 1, 13 and 25 wherein the application program refines the location of the mobile device by applying a heuristic (application of uncertainty) (0027).

Regarding claims 12, 24 and 36, Caughran discloses the apparatus/method/article of claims 1, 13 and 25 wherein the application program may be dynamically deployed within a system without deploying a new version of the system (0017).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2-5, 14-17 and 26-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Caughran in view of Oprescu-Surcobe et al (Oprescu-Surcobe), U.S. Patent No. 5,842,130.

Regarding claims 2-5, 14-17 and 26-29, Caughran discloses the apparatus/method/article of claims 1, 13 and 25 as described above and further discloses wherein the mobile station identified by a number that uniquely identifies the



Art Unit: 2681

mobile station (0023). Caughran further discloses wherein the mobile station can communicate with the Internet (0013). Caughran, however, fails to specifically disclose the ID being a cellular number, MSISDN, IP address or a pre-stored default number.

In a similar field of endeavor, Oprescu-Surcobe discloses a method for identifying a mobile unit in a wireless communication system. Oprescu-Surcobe further discloses wherein a mobile unit can be uniquely identified by various numbers such as a prestored ESN, and later assigned numbers such as a phone number, directory number, mobile ID number, IMSI, TMSI, etc. (col. 4, lines 16-30).

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Caughran with the teachings of Oprescu-Surcobe to ensure that proper identification of a mobile station is performed.

5. Claims 8-11, 20-23 and 32-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Caughran in view of Rangarajan et al (Rangarajan), U.S. Patent No. 6,757,544.

Regarding claims 8, 9, 20, 21, 32 and 33, Caughran discloses the apparatus/method/article of claims 7, 19 and 31 as described above.

Caughran, however, fails to disclose wherein the location is refined by snapping to a closest point on a street network or by snapping to a landmark or point of interest in the vicinity.

In a similar field of endeavor, Rangarajan discloses a system and method for determining a location relevant to a communication device and/or its associated user.

Art Unit: 2681

Rangarajan also discloses wherein the location of a mobile station/user is refined by snapping to a closest point on a street network or by snapping to a landmark or point of interest in the vicinity (col. 5, line 54-col. 6, line 4, col. 7, lines 27-31, col. 7, line 55-col. 8, line 35).

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Caughran with the teachings of Rangarajan for the purpose of more accurately locating a user.

Regarding claims 10, 11, 22, 23, 34 and 35, the combination of Caughran and Rangarajan discloses the apparatus/method/article of claims 7, 19 and 31 as described above.

The combination, however, fails to disclose wherein the location is refined by snapping to a location in a vicinity of the location that a mobile device user has recently visited or wherein the location is refined by snapping to a location in a vicinity of the location that a mobile device user has book-marked as a "favorite" location.

However, the examiner believes that the above limitations would not render the claims patentable over the applied references because it merely depends on how one would want to locate a mobile unit without changing the scope of the invention in the applied references.

Therefore, at the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the combination of Caughran and Rangarajan for the purpose of more accurately locating a mobile/user.

Art Unit: 2681

Conclusion

Page 6

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Blumberg et al, U.S. Pub. No. 2004/0110515.

Bernas et al, U.S. Pub No. 2004/0077359.

Giniger et al, U.S. Patent No. 6,199,045.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Temica M. Davis whose telephone number is (703) 306-5837. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (alternate Fridays) 8:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Hudspeth can be reached on (703) 308-4825. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Temica M. Davis Examiner

Art Unit 2681

August 23, 2004

TEMICA M. DAVIS
PATENT EXAMINER